

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/890,860	DODD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Walter B. Aughenbaugh.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Bryan H. Davidson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2006

**Time:** ~3:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*53, 55, 56 and 58-60*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed Examiner's Amendments to place application in condition for allowance as detailed in attached Examiner's Amendment. Bryan H. Davidson authorized the amendments in a voice mail message left on August 3, 2006.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)